

Plan

Section 1 - Birlings representing middle/high class

Feel more important

Don't care about lower classes

Birling tries to intimidate inspector with his class and connections ^{theme of judgment}

Section 2 - Inspector unintimidated as he doesn't have a class

'responsibilities as well as privileges'

Eva Smith and John Smith

Birling remains ignorant - No identity

Section 3 - Sheila and Eric change - showing

how boundaries can be broken

Daisy most honourable.

Question 1

Priestley first explores attitudes to social class by using the different characters to represent the classes - Eva Smith represents the lower classes, the Birling's represent the middle/high class and Gerald represents the highest class. Priestley uses characters such as Mr Birling to show

Mr Birling the ignorance of the higher classes. For example, ~~he~~ tells of how 'you'd think everybody has to look after everybody else' and

refers to that idea, as 'community and all that nonsense'. Here, Priestley is showing that Mr Birling has a limited sense of social responsibility and didn't care nor want to know about those below him. In 1912, class distinctions were very clear still and that is the time in which the play was set - so Priestley clearly shows this. He also presents the idea of class making people such as Mr Birling ~~would~~ ~~make~~ feel a sense of social superiority and importance.

As an example, Mr Birling tries to intimidate the Inspector straight from his arrival in act one - telling him of how he 'was an alderman for years & Lord Mayor two years ago - and [he is] still on the bench.' Here, not only does this show Mr Birling's attempt to intimidate the Inspector with his position, Priestley also uses social class to present irony, as Mr Birling passes judgement for others as a magistrate ~~but~~ despite his own immoral acts.

Birling continues to intimidate the Inspector with his connections also, and uses Gerald - who is of a higher social class - to further promote himself, saying that he should ~~introduce~~ introduce [the Inspector] to Gerald Crofts, son of Sir George Crofts - you know, Crofts

limited?'. Birling also feels that ~~he~~ he ought to warn the Inspector that he plays golf with his boss, again to intimidate the Inspector. The Inspector, however, remains uninimidated throughout the play; Priestley doesn't portray him as intimidated due to the fact that the Inspector doesn't have a social class. The Inspector does not represent any of the classes nor does he fit into any of them, and so naturally can see the ignorance and disregard from those of a higher class to those below them. In fact, the Inspector shows that of a socialist view - everyone is equal and deserved to be treated the same. To the Inspector the class distinctions mean nothing and so he feels the need to remind characters such as Mr Birling that he has 'responsibilities as well as privileges' and that there are millions and millions and millions of Eric Smiths and John Smiths that exist. The Inspector's views mirror that of Priestley's own socialist views and Priestley likely shows the Inspector as what one would perceive as the protagonist in the play in order to show that his views and attitudes are morally right - and the Birlings ~~are~~ sum up what is wrong with the class system.

Despite this, Priestley wishes to show

that people can change from being heavily sheltered by a class system and move to a socialist viewpoint. Priestley uses Eric and Sheila to do this as Sheila almost becomes a mini-Inspector at points even refers to the lower class as 'not just cheap labour, they're people'. Here, Priestley is showing the start of the realisation that ~~class boundaries~~ boundaries by some of the characters, that his own viewpoint that class distinctions are ~~less~~ unnecessary and don't control ~~people~~ ~~people~~ or define people, and that those class boundaries can be broken. Priestley also shows this when the characters believe it may all be a hoax and Sheila and Eric react in the way that although they may not have killed a girl, their actions had the potential to and they should start caring for one another.

Priestley also explores social class by changing the audience's possible perceptions of it. For example, the audience may have believed that the lower classes partook in crime and other immoral acts. Despite this, Eva/Daisy is presented as the most honourable character as 'she refused to take any more' money when she believed it was stolen. This may ~~surprise~~ surprise the audience causing it to register to them a lot more and change how they view things to almost

mirror ~~Praskley's~~ own views.